



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,574	10/18/2001	Mark Anders	10559-481001	3811
45209	7590	07/27/2005	EXAMINER	
INTEL/BLAKELY 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			PATHAK, SUDHANSU C	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
				2634

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,574	ANDERS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sudhanshu C. Pathak	2634	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

**A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM
 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.**

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on October 18th, 2001.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) 12-19 is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on October 18th, 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-to-19 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-5 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art in view of Burleson et al. (Low-Power Encodings for Global Communication in CMOS VLSI; IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems; Vol. 5, No. 4; Dec. 1997; Pages 444-455).

Regarding to Claims 1-5 & 10, the Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) discloses transmitting data over a dynamic bus thus reducing the effect of the parasitic Miller capacitor effect (Specification, Page 1, Paragraph 2 & Specification, Page 2, Paragraphs 2-3). However, the AAPA does not specify encoding the data in response to the transition of the data between a current clock cycle and a previous clock cycle and then transmitting the encoded data on the bus.

Burleson discloses transmission of data over a communication bus so as to minimize the power dissipation (Page 444, Abstract, lines 1-16 & Introduction).

Burleson further discloses transitional signaling ((encoding) from level signaling to transition signaling) in combination with further encoding (type of code words per data bit) so as reduce the switching activity on the bus thus minimizing the power

consumption in the bus system (Page 445, left-hand column, Sec. "Level Signaling and Transition Signaling", lines 8-25 & Page 445, right-hand column, Sec. "Level Signaling and Transition Signaling", lines 1-20). Burleson also discloses transitional signaling (encoding) to be in response to a transition of the data (bit) between a current clock cycle and a previous clock cycle (Page 445, right-hand column, lines 1-25 (modulation equation)). Burleson also discloses a method of decoding the encoded signal by comparing the first encoded signal received at the output in the current clock cycle to a second encoded signal received at the output in the previous clock cycle (Page 445, right-hand column, lines 1-25 (demodulation equation)). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Burleson teaches that transition signaling for transmitting data over a data bus and this can be implemented in the bus as described in the AAPA so as to provide a simpler one-to-one context independent data for further encoding (code words) so as to minimize power consumption in the transmission of data over a dynamic bus. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the comparing operation is performed by an exclusive-OR gate (operation). Furthermore, Burleson also teaches encoding NRZ data (level signaling) into a transition signaling data so as to avoid the complexity (and accuracy) of clock synchronization of the transmitted data in the receiver and further providing the interface to be integrated on the single chip in the transceiver. Furthermore, there is no criticality in the first encoded signal to be a HIGH signal and the second encoded signal comprising to be a LOW signal, this is a matter of design

choice in terms of the initial data to be transmitted and the initial condition of the manchester encoder.

4. Claims 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Applicant Admitted Prior Art in view of Burleson et al. (Low-Power Encodings for Global Communication in CMOS VLSI; IEEE Transactions on Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) Systems; Vol. 5, No. 4; Dec. 1997; Pages 444-455) in further view of Schaire (4,453,229).

Regarding to Claims 6-9, the AAPA in view of Burleson discloses a method for transmitting encoded data over a dynamic bus wherein the data is encoded as a transitional signaling data and the decoding is performed by comparing the first encoded signal and the previous encoded signal as described above. However, storing and tracking the encoded signal at the receiver.

Schaire discloses a buffer and a status register in the receiver so as to store and track the received data over a data bus (Fig. 1, element 38, 80 & Column 4, lines 1-28, 37-68). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that Schaire teaches implementing a receiver buffer and status register to store and track the data received over the bus, thus satisfying the limitations of the claim. Furthermore, there is no criticality in storing the received data before or after or before and after the decoding of the received data depending on the component complexity and accuracy requirements of the receiver, it is a matter of design choice.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 11-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure, it is recommended to the applicant to amend all the claims so as to be patentable over the cited prior art of record. A detailed list of pertinent references is included with this Office Action (See Attached "Notice of References Cited" (PTO-892)).
7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sudhanshu C. Pathak whose telephone number is (571)-272-3038. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9am-6pm.
 - If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)-272-3056
 - The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2634

- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sudhanshu C. Pathak



STEPHEN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600